

## Presentation Rubric

	3 points	2 points	1 point	0 points
<b>Basic Facts Themes (link to Research Presentation)</b>	Themes and questions are mentioned and links to Research Presentation are enunciated.	Themes and questions are mentioned. No link to Research Presentation.	Themes or questions are mentioned. No link to Research Presentation.	Didn't identify themes or questions.
<b>Basic Facts Action Project</b>	Outline and process of carrying it out were described with evidence.	Outline and process of carrying it out were described.	Outline of Project provided.	No mention of Action Project.
<b>Basic Facts Options Trail</b>	Outline and process of carrying it out were described with evidence.	Outline and process of carrying it out were described.	Outline of Options Trail provided.	No mention of Options Trail.
<b>Options Trail Questions</b>	Discussion of questions linked to evidence gathered on Options Trail. Claims and discussion supported with evidence.	Discussion of questions linked to evidence gathered on Options Trail.	Questions mentioned. Link to Options trail activities not apparent.	Questions not mentioned.
<b>Personal Journey Person 1</b>	Choice of image is justified. Personal group work experience linked to features of image. Discussion of key personal learning as a result of team project.	Choice of image is justified. Personal group work experience linked to features of image.	Image provided, but links to experience not made clear.	Person didn't speak about their journey or provide an image.
<b>Personal Journey Person 2</b>	As above	As above	As above	As above
<b>Personal Journey Person 3</b>	As above	As above	As above	As above
<b>Personal Journey Person 4</b>	As above	As above	As above	As above
<b>Structure and organisation of the Presentation.</b>	The group operated professionally without the need for members to be prompted. There had clearly been rehearsal. Everyone had a meaningful input.	Structure was logical, but the group didn't operate as a unit. Some members didn't appear to know the structure and/or appeared to be operating under instruction.	There was clear confusion regarding structure and organisation. Speakers seemed unsure of content.	Clearly no rehearsal. Group was very disorganised.
<b>Challenges</b>	N/A	Challenges discussed and evaluated.	Challenges discussed briefly.	Challenges not discussed.
<b>Initiative</b>	N/A	N/A	Examples of initiatives and/or brilliance mentioned.	No initiatives mentioned
<b>Achievements</b>	N/A	Greatest achievement mentioned with a discussion of why it was.	Greatest achievement mentioned. No discussion of why.	Achievements not discussed.
<b>Use of visual and multimedia aids.</b>	Visual/multimedia aids were used where appropriate. They clearly enhanced the presentation.	Visual +/- multimedia aids were used. Didn't necessarily gel perfectly with spoken presentation, though they were useful.	Some visual aids were used, but it wasn't apparent why they were being used.	No use of visual aids.
<b>Clear communication. Person 1</b>	Well spoken. Easily understood. Voice volume and intonation were in accord with the nature of the presentation.	Presentation was clear, though some basic things good have been improved (eg inappropriate volume / eye contact missing).	Audience really needed to try hard to understand what was being said.	Impossible to understand.
<b>Clear communication. Person 2</b>	As above	As above	As above	As above
<b>Clear communication. Person 3</b>	As above	As above	As above	As above
<b>Clear communication. Person 4</b>	As above	As above	As above	As above